

Navy Case No.: 84,155
301-227-1834
West Bethesda, MD

SEAL INTEGRITY DETECTION SYSTEM

STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENT INTEREST

[0001] The invention described herein may be manufactured and used by
or for the Government of the United States of America for governmental
purposes without payment of any royalties thereon or therefore.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The integrity of seals is often a critical element in the operation of various items. Nowhere is this truer than in doors, especially watertight doors, on ships. Watertight doors and hatches are critical to controlling flooding on ships and submarines. These doors often handle large amounts of traffic, cycling the doors open and shut several thousand times a week, causing the seal integrity to fade. Such doors often require extensive adjustments and maintenance in order to maintain a fluid tight closure. The twisting and flexing that occurs on ships cause doors to warp or bend resulting in loss of seal integrity. Other than periodic spot checks, there is currently no way to check the seal integrity on a continuous basis.

[0003] The current way to check seal integrity of watertight doors is to perform a chalk test. The chalk test is a simple means of determining if the gasket is in continuous contact with the knife-edge of the doorframe when the door is closed. Chalk is rubbed on the knife-edge of the doorframe and the door is shut and dogged tight. The door is then opened and the chalk line on the seal should be continuous if the door is adjusted properly. A non-continuous chalk line on the gasket indicates that the dogs are not pressing the gasket against the knife-edge properly. However, the chalk test does not guarantee that the door is watertight, as it does not measure seal compression.

[0004] Chalk tests are preformed on a periodic basis as it is a labor-intensive test. Doors and doorframes, especially the knife-edges, are subject to wear and tear with repeated use. Additionally, the frames and surrounding structures are often subject to stresses that may warp or bend the frames or surrounding structure. This may mean that even

39 though the chalk test was successful, subsequent damage to the door or
40 frame might occur that causes a bad fit that will not be discovered until
41 the next chalk test.

42 [0005] Another method used to check seal integrity uses acoustic
43 transducers. In this test, transducers are placed on one side of a closed
44 door to produce acoustic energy and the quality of the seal is surmised
45 based on the amount of acoustic energy received. However, such a
46 method is labor intensive and does not provide continuous feedback.

47 [0006] What is needed is an apparatus that can quickly and continuously
48 determine if the seal is engaged adequately on a closed door.

49

49 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

50 [0007] In the present invention there is provided a first insulated
51 conductive waveguide and a second conductive waveguide threaded
52 through a seal. A time domain reflectometer (TDR) is connected the
53 waveguides, whereby reflected pulses are detected indicating an area
54 where the seal is not compressed adequately.

55 [0008] In accordance with the present invention a seal integrity checking
56 system includes a first insulated conductive waveguide and a second
57 insulated conductive waveguide inside a seal or gasket. A pulse
58 generator may be operatively connected to the first and second
59 waveguides and a pulse detector may be operatively connected to the
60 first and second waveguides to detect reflected pulses that indicate an
61 area where the seal is not compressed adequately.

62 [0009] In an alternative embodiment of the present invention there is
63 provided a seal integrity checking system that includes a gasket disposed
64 around the periphery of a door that has a first insulated conductive
65 waveguide embedded in the gasket and a second insulated conductive
66 waveguide embedded in the gasket. The waveguides are separated by a
67 dielectric and a pulse generator is operatively connected to the first and
68 second waveguides. A pulse detector is operatively connected to the first
69 and second waveguides so that the reflected pulses are detected and
70 indicate an area where the seal is not compressed adequately

71 [00010] For a better understanding of the present invention, together with
72 other and further objects thereof, reference is made to the following
73 description, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, and
74 its scope will be pointed out in the appended claims.

75

75 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

76 [00011] FIG. 1 is a front elevation view of an example shipboard watertight
77 door, doorframe assembly and a Time Domain Reflectometry instrument.

78 [00012] FIG. 2A is a partial cross sectional view of the door gasket with an
79 embedded waveguide in accordance with the present invention.

80 [00013] FIG. 2B is a partial cross sectional view of the door sill area and the
81 seal with an embedded waveguide in accordance with the present
82 invention.

83 [00014] FIG. 3 is an enlarged cross section of a gasket and embedded
84 waveguide in accordance with the present invention.

85

85 DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

86 [00015] Referring now to the example of FIG. 1, a door seal integrity
87 verification system in accordance with the present invention is illustrated
88 diagrammatically. The door 10 shown in the figure is an example of a
89 watertight door on a ship. The watertight closure includes a movable
90 door 10 on hinges 20 that is attached to the bulkhead 32 by a doorframe
91 12. The door 10 includes a latching mechanism that includes a door
92 latching handle 16 and numerous dogs 14 that operate through linkages
93 18 to latch the door 10 and form a watertight seal by compressing gasket
94 22. The door 10 is only watertight if the gasket 22 is compressed
95 properly between the door 10 and the doorframe 12. The time domain
96 reflectometry (TDR) 30 interrogates an embedded waveguide to verify
97 adequate compression of the seal 22.

98 [00016] TDR works by transmitting a pulse of energy down a transmission
99 wire or cable and then the TDR instrument measures reflected pulses that
100 indicate either the end of the transmission line or a fault somewhere
101 along the line. A TDR instrument sends high frequency electrical impulse
102 signals down the line and samples the reflected energy utilizing a pulse
103 generator and a pulse detector. Impedance changes in the line will cause
104 a reflection of some of the energy back toward the TDR and the
105 instrument registers the reflections. The TDR works with transmission
106 lines that include two metallic waveguides or conductors close together
107 and are separated by a dielectric. The TDR may be used with parallel-
108 insulated wires, parallel ribbon cable or a coaxial wire. This technique for
109 using TDRs and interpreting measurements are generally well known.

110 [00017] Figure 2A is an enlarged partial cross sectional view of the door 10
111 and doorframe 12 sealing arrangement in accordance with the present

112 invention. When the door 10 is latched shut by the action of the handle
113 and dogs 14, the knife edge 13 of the doorframe 12 compresses the
114 gasket 22 in the door seal channel 15 to form a watertight seal. In the
115 present invention the seal 22 has embedded in it a transmission line 24
116 suitable for interrogation by a TDR 30. It may be a coaxial waveguide as
117 illustrated in the example of figure 2A. When the door 10 is latched the
118 gasket 22 and waveguide 24 are compressed. By interrogating the
119 coaxial waveguide 24 with the TDR 30 the quality of the seal can be
120 inferred by the impedance change along the gasket 22 with embedded
121 waveguide 24. The most drastic change in impedance is between the
122 gasket 22 being in an uncompressed state for instance when the door 10
123 is open and when the gasket 22 is compressed when the door 10 is
124 closed. More useful information may be obtained once the door 10 is
125 closed. Starting with a properly adjusted door 10 measurements can be
126 taken to set a baseline of results for the compressed seal 22 readings.
127 Subsequent uses of the door 10 while the system is interrogating the seal
128 22 coaxial waveguide 24 would identify areas along the coaxial
129 waveguide 24 that are not sealed properly. Reflections at such locations
130 would indicate an improper seal that must be investigated to check for
131 warping of the door 10 or doorframe 12 or other mechanical failure in a
132 part such as the dogs 14.

133 [00018] Figure 2B is a partial cross section of the sill area of the door 10
134 and doorframe 12. The seal 22 can be seen in a channel 15 along the
135 edge of the door 10. Embedded in the seal 22 is a waveguide 24,
136 preferably coaxial, that will have increased pressure on it when the door
137 10 is sealed properly to ensure that the door 10 is watertight.

138 [00019] Figure 3 is an enlarged cross section of the gasket 22 with an
139 embedded waveguide 24. In this view the center conductor 26 and
140 dielectric 25 can be clearly seen. The TDR 30 could be mounted either
141 on the face of the door 10 or nearby and would connect to the coaxial
142 waveguide through leads running from the TDR 30 to the center
143 conductor 26 and the outer conductive element of the waveguide 24.
144 Alternatively, the door seal integrity verification system may be connected
145 to the damage control system of a ship in order to provide information
146 about the status of the watertight doors throughout the ship. In another
147 embodiment of the present invention the seal integrity verification system
148 would output the results to a display assembly such as an LCD that would
149 depict the door and highlight areas on the screen corresponding to
150 improper seal compression.

151 [00020] While there have been described what are believed to be the
152 preferred embodiments of the present invention, those skilled in the art
153 will recognize that other and further changes and modifications may be
154 made thereto without departing from the spirit of the invention, and it is
155 intended to claim all such changes and modifications that fall within the
156 true scope of the invention.

157 [00021] What is claimed is:
158